

Table 3: Effect sizes reported for various outcomes of yoga for cancer supportive care

Source: Karen Pilkington, CAM-Cancer Consortium. Yoga [online document]. December 2019.

Outcome	Reviews	No. of studies	Results (Effect size, confidence intervals, p value)	Summary
	addressing this			
	outcome			
Anxiety	Lin 2011	8 RCTs	Significant difference -0.76 [-1.34 to -0.19] 0.009	Positive effects are reported
	Zhang 2012	2 RCTs	NS -0.24 [-0.54, 0.06] 0.1	on anxiety, but results are
	Buffart 2012	7 RCTs	Significant difference −1.25 [−1.93 to −0.56] <0.001	heterogeneous and only
	Cramer 2012b	2 RCTs (no Tx control)	NS -0.58 [-1.22, 0.07] 0.08 (short-term)	positive in the short-term
		3 RCTs (active control)	Significant difference -2.21 [-3.90, -0.52] 0.01 (short-	when yoga is compared with
	Felbel 2014	1 RCT (lymphoma only)	term)	active controls rather than no
	Cramer 2017	6 RCTs (no Tx control)	NS 0.30 [-5.01 to 5.61]	treatment.
			NS (pooled SMD -0.53, 95% CI -1.10 to 0.04) (very low	
		3 RCTs (active control)	quality, short term)	
			Significant difference (pooled SMD -2.21, 95% CI -3.90 to	
	Pan 2017	10 RCTs	-0.52) (moderate quality, short term)	
			Significant difference (SMD: -0.98, 95% CI: -1.38, -0.57)	

Depression	Lin 2011	8 RCTs	Significant difference -0.95 [-1.55 to -0.36] 0.002	Positive effects on depression
	Zhang 2012	2 RCTs	NS -4.12 [-13.05, 4.81] 0.3	are seen in the short-term
	Buffart 2012	7 RCTs	Significant difference -1.47 [-2.42 to -0.53] 0.002	but results are
	Cramer 2012b	2 RCTs (no Tx control)	NS -0.35 [-0.81, 0.12] 0.14 (short-term)	heterogeneous and only
		4 RCTs (active control)	Significant difference -2.29 [-3.97, -0.61] <0.01 (short-	positive in the short-term
		2 RCTs (long-term)	term)	when yoga is compared with
	Felbel 2014	1 RCT	NS -0.36 [-0.80, 0.07] 0.1 (long-term)	active controls rather than no
	Cramer 2017	7 RCTs (no Tx control)	NS -0.70 [-3.21 to 1.81]	treatment.
			NS (pooled SMD -0.13, 95% CI -0.31 to 0.05) (low quality,	
		4 RCTs (active control)	short term)	
			Significant difference (pooled SMD -2.29, 95% CI -3.97 to	
	Pan 2017	10 RCTs	-0.61) (moderate quality, short term)	
			Significant difference (SMD: -0.17, 95% CI: -0.32, -0.01)	
Distress	Lin 2011	2 RCTs	Significant difference -0.4 [-0.67 to -0.14] 0.003	Reports of effects on distress
	Zhang 2012	2 RCTs	NS -3.05 [-8.63, 2.53] 0.28	are mixed with more positive
	Buffart 2012	7 RCTs	Significant difference -0.95 [-1.49 to -0.49] < 0.001	results reported when yoga is
	Cramer 2012b	3 RCTs (no Tx control)	NS -0.19 [-0.70, 0.32] 0.47 (short-term)	compared with active
		3 RCTs (active control)	Significant difference -1.55 [-2.48, -0.61] <0.01 (short-	controls than no treatment
	Felbel 2014	3 RCTs (long-term)	term)	controls.
		1 RCT	NS -1.73 [-4.02, 0.56] 0.14 (long-term)	
			NS -0.30 [-5.55 to 4.95]	

CAM Cancer Complementary and Alternative Medicine for Cancer

Fatigue	Lin 2011 Zhang 2012 Cramer 2012a Buffart 2012 Felbel 2014	4 RCTs 5 RCTs 6 RCTs 7 RCTs 1 RCT	NS -0.15 [-0.39 - 0.09] 0.24 NS 0.11 [-0.12, 0.35] 0.35 Significant difference 0.33 [0.01 - 0.65] 0.04 Significant difference - 0.51 [-0.79 -0.22] 0.001 NS 0.00 [-0.94 0.94]	Recent trials have reported more positive results than older studies leading to overall positive effects being reported for fatigue in most
	Cramer 2017	11 RCTs (no Tx control) 2 RCTs (no Tx control) 3 RCTs (exercise control)	Significant difference (pooled SMD -0.48, 95% CI -0.75 to -0.20) (moderate quality, short term) NS (pooled SMD -0.04, 95% CI -0.36 to 0.29) (low quality; medium term) NS (pooled SMD -0.21, 95% CI -0.66 to 0.25) (very low-	recent reviews. Evidence is stronger for short term than medium term effects.
	Pan 2017 Dong 2019	9 RCTs 17 RCTs	quality, short term) Significant difference (SMD: -0.22, 95% CI: -0.53, -0.09) Significant difference [SMD: -0.31, 95% CI: -0.52, -0.10)	
Gastro- intestinal	Pan 2017	4 RCTs	Significant difference (SMD: -0.39, 95% CI: -0.54, -0.25)	Limited evidence suggests a beneficial effect of yoga.
Pain	Pan 2017	4 RCTs	NS (SMD: -0.09, 95% CI: -0.64, 0.46)	Limited evidence does not show a beneficial effect of yoga.
Perceived stress	Lin 2011 Zhang 2012 Cramer 2012b	5 RCTs 1 RCT 3 RCTs (short-term) 2 RCTs (long-term)	Significant difference -0.95 [-1.63 to -0.27] < 0.006 Significant difference -15.75 [-18.80, -12.70] < 0.01 Significant difference -1.14 [-2.16, -0.12] 0.03 NS -1.76 [-5.08, 1.56] 0.3	Positive effects are seen on perceived stress in the short-term but not the long-term.
Physical health	Lin 2011 Buffart 2012 Cramer 2012b Pan 2017	4 RCTs 6 RCTs 6 RCTs (physical wellbeing) 8 RCTs (physical wellbeing)	NS -0.16 [-0.37 to 0.06] 0.15 NS 0.60 [-0.05 to 1.25] 0.07 NS 0.45 [-0.19, 1.08] 0.17 NS (SMD: 0.23, 95% CI: -0.04, 0.52)	Significant effects on physical health are not reported.

Quality of life	Lin 2011	3 RCTs	NS -0.29 [-0.58 to 0.01] 0.06	Overall, positive effects on
	Zhang 2012	5 RCTs	Significant difference 0.27 [0.02, 0.52] 0.03	quality of life are reported
	Buffart 2012	7 RCTs	Significant difference 0.88 [0.25 to 1.50] 0.006	but only in the short term.
	Cramer 2012b	3 RCTs (short term	Significant difference 0.29 [0.01, 0.57] 0.04 (short term	
	Cramer 2017	effects)	effects)	
			Significant difference (pooled SMD 0.22, 95% CI 0.04 to	
		10 RCTs (no Tx control)	0.40) (moderate quality, short term)	
			NS (pooled SMD 0.10, 95% CI -0.23 to 0.42) (low quality,	
		2 RCTs (no Tx control)	medium term effects)	
			NS (pooled SMD 0.81, 95% CI -0.50 to 2.12) (very low-	
		2 RCTs (active control)	quality, short-term effects)	
			NS (pooled SMD -0.04, 95% CI -0.30 to 0.23) (very low	
		3 RCTs (exercise control)	quality, short term)	
	Pan 2017		Significant difference (SMD: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.37, 1.34)	
	El-Hashimi 2019	4 RCTs	NS 0.14 (0.00, 0.28)	
		8 RCTs		
Sleep problems	Zhang 2012	2 RCTs	NS -0.44 [-2.54,1.66] 0.68	Positive effects are reported
	Buffart 2012	4 RCTs	NS -0.26 [-0.53 to 0.02] 0.07	in the short term, but effects
	Felbel 2014	1 RCT	Significant difference -2.30 [-3.78 to -0.82]	may not be greater than
	Cramer 2017	6 RCTs (no Tx control)	Significant difference (pooled SMD -0.25, 95% CI -0.40 to	other forms of exercise.
			-0.09) (moderate quality, short term effects)	
		2 RCTs (active control)	NS (pooled SMD -0.21, 95% CI -0.76 to 0.34) (very low	
			quality, short term)	
	Pan 2017	6 RCTs	NS (SMD: -0.19, 95% CI: -0.39, 0.00)	
	Tan 2019	13 RCTs	Significant difference (in favour of walking) (SMD -0.62	
			vs0.26, p 0.01).	

CAM Cancer Complementary and Alternative Medicine for Cancer

Wellbeing	Buffart 2012	4 RCTs (wellbeing)	Significant difference 0.31 [0.04 to 0.58] 0.03	Positive effects are reported
		5 RCTs (emotional	Significant difference 0.49 [0.16 to 0.81] 0.003	on various aspects of
	Cramer 2012b	function)	Significant difference 0.33 [0.12 to 0.54] 0.002	wellbeing except for when
		6 RCTs (social function)	NS 0.09 [-0.15, 0.33] 0.46	yoga is compared against no
		5 RCTs (no Tx control,		treatment for mental
		mental wellbeing)	Significant difference 1.19 [0.13, 2.26] 0.03	wellbeing.
		2 RCTs (active control,		
		mental wellbeing)	Significant difference 0.30 [0.03, 0.57] 0.03	
		4 RCTs (functional	Significant difference 0.32 [0.08, 0.56] < 0.01	
		wellbeing)	Significant difference 0.41 [0.08, 0.74] 0.01	
		3 RCTs (social wellbeing)		
		2 RCTs (spiritual		
		wellbeing)		