

Table 1: Controlled clinical trials of milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) for side effects and toxicity during cancer treatment

Source: Ellen McDonell, Julia Green, Alexander Kalisch, CAM-Cancer Consortium. [Milk thistle \(*Silybum marianum*\) \[online document\]](#), January 2019.

| First author year (ref) | Study design | Participants | Interventions | Main outcome measures | Main results | Comments |
|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Momeni 2015 [38] | RCT | 60 adult patients with a diagnosis of cancer receiving cisplatin chemotherapy | Treatment group: Silymarin tablet 140mg bid for 7 days before cisplatin administration + Cisplatin Control: Cisplatin only | Nephrotoxicity as measured by Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine. Blood samples taken on day 0 (cisplatin administration day), day 3, and day 14 | Lower BUN and serum creatinine 2-weeks after cisplatin administration in treatment group compared to control BUN: 14±4.2 vs 18±8.8, P=0.027 Creatinine: 0.80 mg/dL±0.19 vs 1.0 mg/dL±0.26, P=0.001 No significant difference between groups at day 0 or day 3. | No placebo control, no discussion of types of cancer the individuals were being treated for, short-term follow up of study, blinding not mentioned. |
| Shahbazi 2015 [39] | RCT, pilot | 24 patients with mixed cancer diagnoses receiving cisplatin-based chemotherapy | Treatment: Silymarin 420mg daily in three divided doses starting 24-48 hours before cisplatin initiation until the end of three-21 day cisplatin cycles Control: placebo tablets and cisplatin-based chemotherapy | Renal function, renal electrolyte wasting | No difference between groups on incidence of acute kidney injury, urinary magnesium and potassium wasting. Silymarin was safe, no AEs | Samples were taken once daily following cisplatin-administration until patient was discharged from hospital (which occurred within 4 days). Cisplatin AEs may occur >4 days after administration and thus not have been detected. |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Ladas 2010 [31] | RCT, pilot, multi- centre | 50 children with ALL and hepatotoxicity being treated with chemotherapy | Treatment: oral milk thistle (MT) at 5.1mg/kg/day for 28 days + chemotherapy Control: placebo + chemotherapy | Hepatic function measured by liver toxicity | No significant different between groups at 28 days. AST significantly reduced at day 56 (P = 0.05) and trend towards lower ALT (P = 0.07) in treatment group compared to control. No difference between groups in side effects, toxicities, infections MT did not antagonize the effects of chemotherapy agents | Multi-centred pilot trial, groups were well matched and all participants accounted for. The placebo was indistinguishable from milk thistle in appearance and odour. |
| Hagag 2016 [40] | RCT | 80 children with newly diagnosed ALL, 4-13 years of age | Treatment: Silymarin 420mg/day in 3 divided doses for 1 week following each methotrexate (MTX)- based chemotherapy treatment Control: Placebo for one week following MTX- based chemotherapy | Hepatic and renal toxicity following chemotherapy Hepatic function: Serum bilirubin, total proteins, albumin, globulin and albumin- globulin ration, ALP, ALT, AST, prothrombin time Renal function: BUN, serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, urinary N-acetyl-beta- D-glucosaminidase | Significantly higher ALT, AST, ALP, and significantly lower prothrombin activity in placebo group compared to silymarin group. Significantly lower BUN, creatinine, cystatin C and urinary N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase in silymarin compared to placebo group. Silymarin improved several hepatic and renal function markers in children with ALL treated with MTX-based chemotherapy. | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| Hagag 2018 [41] | RCT | 80 children with ALL 40 in control group, 40 in treatment group | Treatment: Silymarin 420mg for 1 week following each doxorubicin infusion Control: Placebo for 1 week following each doxorubicin infusion | Cardiotoxicity measured by Echo-Doppler measurements of left ventricular (LV) systolic and diastolic function, and pulsed wave tissue Doppler of lateral mitral annulus | Significantly greater reduction in systolic function (EF, FS, S wave) in control group compared to silymarin group after doxorubicin therapy, serum troponin rise following doxorubicin was significantly lower in silymarin group compared to placebo group. | Only the abstract was reviewed for this paper, cannot critically evaluate or comment sufficiently on limitations |
| Mohagheh 2015 [42] | RCT | 99 patients with invasive breast cancer receiving chemotherapy (Doxorubicin, epirubicin, cyclophosphamide, docetaxel, paclitaxel) | Treatment: 70mg silymarin 3x/day Control: placebo tablet Both groups received taxane-containing chemotherapy (Doxorubicin, epirubicin, cyclophosphamide, docetaxel, paclitaxel) | Hepatic function | Significantly higher levels of AST in the control group compared to the silymarin group after the 4 th taxane and 1 month after chemotherapy ($p < 0.05$). Significantly higher levels of ALT after the 3 rd and 4 th taxane, and 1 month after the end of chemotherapy for ALT ($p < 0.05$). No statistically significant differences between groups for ALP, total or indirect bilirubin. | Magnitude of effect may not be clinically relevant. Larger studies and possibly larger doses of silymarin are recommend to evaluate the impact. |
| Elyasi 2016 [44] | RCT, pilot | 27 patients with head and neck cancer being treated with radiation therapy | Treatment: Silymarin 420mg daily in three divided doses starting on day 1 of radiotherapy for 6 weeks Control: Placebo tablets with radiotherapy | Radiation-induced mucositis as measured weekly by World Health Organization and National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria (NCICTC and CTCAE respectively) | Median WHO CTCAE and NCICT scores were significantly lower in the silymarin group at the end of each week (week 1-6) compared to the placebo group ($p < 0.05$). Mucositis development was delayed in the silymarin group and decreased the severity. Treatment well tolerated, no AEs attributed to silymarin. | Small sample size |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Elyasi 2017 [45] | RCT, pilot | 40 patients with gastrointestinal cancers being treated with capecitabine chemotherapy | Treatment: Silymarin gel 1% applied to palms and soles twice daily starting with first day of chemotherapy (capecitabine + oxaliplatin) for 9 weeks Control: placebo gel with similar colouring applied same as the treatment group during chemotherapy (capecitabine + oxaliplatin) | Hand-Foot Syndrome (HFS) as measured by WHO HFS score, evaluated every 3 weeks for 9 weeks | Median HFS score was significantly lower in silymarin group at the end of the 9 th week of treatment compared to the placebo group ($p < 0.05$). There was no statistically significant differences between groups at week 3 or 6. Silymarin gel was well tolerated, no AEs | Small sample size. Short-term follow up (9 weeks) |
| Becker-Scheibe 2011 [34] | Non randomized, prospective observational trial | 101 patients receiving adjuvant radiotherapy following breast cancer surgery | Treatment: topical silymarin-containing cream (Liviaderm) Control: standard of care | Acute skin reaction according to Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) scale and visual analogue scale (VAS) | Median time to toxicity was significantly longer in Liviaderm group (45 vs 29 days, $p < 0.0001$) | Non-randomized, observational trial increases potential for bias |